



# New Energy Times

December 30, 2019

Dr. Kijung Jung  
Director, ITER Korea Domestic Agency

Dear Dr. Jung,

We have been in communication about your claims on the ITER Korea Web site for two years (see next page).

You have removed only the most obvious false claim – about electricity production.

However, you have continued to knowingly publish a claim that misleadingly associates the 500 MW output as net reactor power output, rather than as output directly associated with plasma heating.

You have continued to publish a claim that misleadingly associates the expected power gain with the overall reactor, rather than only with plasma heating versus plasma thermal output.

Your [British](#), [Indian](#), and [Japanese](#) colleagues have demonstrated exemplary scientific integrity in their claims about ITER's primary design objective and mission.

I encourage you to adjust your claims so they are correct, accurate, and transparent to members of the public. If you are interested in doing so, please let me know.

Steven Krivit  
Publisher and Senior Editor, *New Energy Times*

## **ITER DOMESTIC AGENCY - KOREA (Web site)**

### **About Page — Dec. 20, 2017**

"Developing and constructing a 500MW of electricity producing plant." ([link to source](#))

*[SK: On Dec. 17, 2017, I wrote to Kijung Jung, director of the ITER Korea Domestic Agency. He made a partial correction.]*

### **About Page — as of Dec. 31, 2018**

"Developing and constructing a fusion plant producing 500MW of fusion power." ([link to source](#))

### **Business Goals Page (translated) — as of Dec. 31, 2018**

"... nuclear fusion experiment with heat output of 500 MW and energy amplification rate (Q) of 10 or more."

### **Business Goals Page (translated) — as of Dec. 27, 2019**

"fusion reactor with a heat output of 500 MW and an energy amplification factor of 10 or more." ([link to source](#))