

Miscellaneous Excerpts from Rossi's Blog

"A New Energy Source From Nuclear Fusion" Thread

<http://www.journal-of-nuclear-physics.com/?p=66>

□ Andrea Rossi
[June 13th, 2010 at 8:38 PM](#)

Dear Mr Joseph Catania:

During the operations of our reactors no radiations have been detected outside the reactor. The shielding system has been designed by Prof. Sergio Focardi, of the Physics Inst. of the University of Bologna. He made a great job with us. The Ni isotopes are different between before and after the operation, as has been seen with the Secondary Ions Mass Spectrometer of the University Of Padua and as we are seeing in the USA in this period.

Warm regards,
Andrea Rossi

□ Andrea Rossi
[January 8th, 2011 at 9:32 AM](#)

To all the Readers of the Journal Of Nuclear Physics:

On the 15th of January at 10 a.m. Leonardo Corporation will make a press conference with the presentation of the first module of the 1 MW plant in construction. At 9 a.m. will be published in the Comments the report of the operation of the reactor. You all will be able to put any question in real time, getting answers in real time.

Warm Regards,
A.R.

"Patent WO/2009/125444" Thread

<http://www.journal-of-nuclear-physics.com/?p=96>

□ Andrea Rossi
[June 5th, 2010 at 7:36 PM](#)

This patent is partially a copy of a patent deposited 2 years ago from Andrea Rossi. This, of course, will be matter for attorneys.

It will be more difficult for this group to make a reactor able to work industrially,

making energy reliably, day by day, being turned on and turned off, making regularly tenths of kwh/h of energy. That is more difficult to copy, because is not a piece of paper. And that will be the demonstration of a difference between the patent of a real and working technology and a patent without a reliable application: a wannabe patent.

Differences are in the facts, more than in the words.

With all respect,

Andrea Rossi

□ Andrea Rossi

[June 6th, 2010 at 8:08 AM](#)

Here is another anonymous cover from the gang, that now became also a patent infringer.

As everybody can see, you continue clownesquely to hidden yourself behind the curtain of fake names, and everybody has understood perfectly who are you, while I fight, as usually in my life, putting myself in person in front of the foe. Yes, my patent covers all the world. You will know this better from my attorneys, in the opportune sites.

The patent infringement from your side is clear: my patent has been deposited in August 2008, more that one year before your application. We will defend our priority in all the Countries of the world, be sure. Anyway, fortunately, the patent is one thing, the technology and the know how to make an industrial plant are a completely different thing. To copy a patent, adding some ridiculous specification, is a work that a wannabe can do easily (then it becomes matter for attorneys). But to copy a plant is very difficult. We are building industrial plants, while your laboratories apparatuses, I mean the ones made by the guys you refer to when you say that "these persons (at least some of them) are in the field since decades", all their apparatuses simply never worked, in the sense that they never produced industrially usable energy. Please, they who are interested to this issue, can go to study a very interesting article published on the magazine "NUOVO CIMENTO", vol. 109 A, N.12 (NUOVO CIMENTO is the official magazine of the Italian Physics Society). The article has been written by well known physicists, like Antonino Zichichi et al., and refers to a test made by the apparatus of Piantelli. The paper is long, elaborate and we asked to Nuovo Cimento the Authorization to publish it on our Journal: in the meantime everybody can go to read it directly on Nuovo Cimento; the paper, substantially, reports that no excess heat has been produced. Now, it's time for the wannabes to pass from chatters to facts: make a real reactor able, as my one did for years, to give energy to a factory, I mean megawatts, not watts. My reactor has been tested from some of the most important scientists of the world and from major industries in the power production sector, and after the tests they have bought from Leonardo Corporation, the Company who is filing a suit against you for

patent infringement, real reactors, not chatters and wannabe stuff. Copy them, if you are able to . Form now stop chatters on this issue, at least from me. I have real work to do, and you “patent” is matter of work for Leonardo’s attorneys, not for me.

Regards,
Andrea Rossi

Cucumber
[June 6th, 2010 at 9:08 AM](#)

Dear Mr. Rossi,
I do not know what do you mean with term “gang of wannabes”, I’m only a specialist in intellectual property based in Switzerland; from time to time I’m also asked to dig into new potential breakthrough developments from investors or rarely intelligence for metal mining corporations, nothing more.
I’ve never done experiments nor I’ve contacts or relationships with the group of Piantelli although I did meet other people in the field many years ago.
In addition to what done as job, I’m also curious and very excited by novelties in an area that I’m following since early ’90s when work duties are not too heavy, mostly through literature and patents analysis.
Said that I do not understand at all your attacks nor I feel to deserve them...
Having many conflicting interests in this sector force me to stay anonymous, but if needed a direct private contact can repair this comprehensible concern from your side.
However given the attitude of your previous answers I do not think it is worth to go ahead.

I wanted to thank you anyway for the quick replies to my questions.
I wish you great achievements.
Regards

Andrea Rossi
[June 6th, 2010 at 9:45 AM](#)

Dear “Cucumber”:
I have been attacked.
I will fight back.
Regards,
Andrea Rossi

□ Christofer Manninger
[June 9th, 2010 at 2:44 AM](#)

How can we compare the patent of Andrea Rossi, which has been made in the year 2008, with the patent of Piantelli et Al., which has been made in 2010? It is clear that the patent of Andrea Rossi is an invention, while the patent of Piantelli et Al. is an attempt to copy it. Besides, Andre Rossi, as we can read from posts, has built an industrial and working plant; what about the power production of the Piantelli apparatus? Is it possible to know something about it? It seems like competitors just copy the form, ignoring the substance, of Rossi's invention.

Chris

□ Andrea Rossi
[February 1st, 2011 at 3:06 AM](#)

Dear Mr Lucio Martini:
By October 2011 I will inaugurate the first plant of 1 MW with our Customer. As the inventor of the method and the apparatus, I have taken all this time because the importance of this step doesn't leave space for disappointments. The responsibility of the success of this plant weights totally upon my shoulders, and it is a big weight. So I have to act very conservatively.
Warm regards,
Andrea Rossi

□ Andrea Rossi
[February 5th, 2011 at 10:36 AM](#)

Dear Mr Lucio Martini:
I have no idea about the marketing, for which I invite you to contact stsalikoglou@gmail.com
1 g of Ni gives about 2 MWh. Of course, theoretically should be much more, but

this is the real production we got, because of course only a minimal part of mass is turned into energy in the real battlefield.

Thank you very much for your kind attention,

Warm regards,

A.R.

□ Andrea Rossi

[February 16th, 2011 at 8:07 AM](#)

Dear Mr Vasile Dorobantu:

Thank you very much for your attention.

We have not in program other public demos before the start up of the 1 MW plant next October. Now we are focused exclusively on the manufacturing, while the R&D we are making with the University of Bologna is not public, so far.

Personally, I am in the USA in this period to direct the manufacturing.

For all the commercial issues, please contact

stsalikoglou@gmail.com

Warm Regards,

A.R.

□ Andrea Rossi

[April 28th, 2011 at 3:39 PM](#)

Dear Mr Gino:

Any commercial question will find space after the start up of our 1 MW plant in October. Just, contact me in november for commercial issues.

Warm regards,

A.R.

"Virtual Neutrons In Orbital Capture And In Neutron Synthesis" Thread

<http://www.journal-of-nuclear-physics.com/?p=168>

□ Andrea Rossi

[April 21st, 2010 at 6:54 AM](#)

Dear Sir:

I appreciated your insight. If you send us the integral paper we will publish it on the Journal Of Nuclear Physics.

All you have to do, is send an email containing as an attachment in PDF your article. This is the address you have to mail your article to:

info@journal-of-nuclear-physics.com

The publication is free and you will be absolutely free to public your article again everywhere you want. We do not retain exclusive rights on what is published on the Journal Of Nuclear Physics, whose target is to be a free agora about our science.

Thank you for your attention to our work and for your interesting insight.

Warmest regards,

Andrea Rossi

□ Andrea Rossi

[November 2nd, 2010 at 8:55 AM](#)

INFORMATION TO ALL OUR READERS: ON THE JOURNAL OF NUCLEAR PHYSICS HAS BEEN PUBLISHED TODAY A VERY IMPORTANT PAPER: "AVOGADRO'S GRAVITY FOR NUCLEAR INTERACTIONS" BY PROF. LAKSHMINARAYANA, S. (DEPARTMENT OF NUCLEAR PHYSICS, ANDHRA UNIVERSITY, VIZAG -INDIA), AND SESHAVATHARAM, U.V.S. (DIP. QA ENG. – LANCO INDUSTRIES LTD, SRIKALAHASTI- INDIA). WARM REGARDS TO ALL, THE BOARD OF ADVISERS OF THE JOOURNAL OF NUCLEAR PHYSICS

□ Andrea Rossi

[November 3rd, 2010 at 12:33 AM](#)

As our Readers have understood, we are driving the Journal Of Nuclear Physics to be a high level international instrument of research in our field. After the papers we published from Europe, USA, China, Japan, India, the next paper, in course of approving by the Peer Reviewer, is an important report from the Nuclear Physics department of the Science Academy of Moscow, Russia,

regarding nuclear reactions obtained in gold targets with a particular laser treatment. Really interesting, it will be probably published in December.
The Board Of Advisers

"Evaluations, Ideas And Proposal Upon New Energy Sources" Thread

<http://www.journal-of-nuclear-physics.com/?p=185>

□ Andrea Rossi
[April 11th, 2010 at 10:22 AM](#)

Prof. Christos Stremmenos is translating his article in English and the translation will be published on this the Journal Of Nuclear Physics within a week.
The Board Of Advisers

□ Board of Advisers
[April 8th, 2010 at 10:55 PM](#)

This important article of Prof. Stremmenos will soon be translated in English. The translation will be published as a follow up of the article.

□ Andrea Rossi
[May 14th, 2010 at 7:36 AM](#)

Dear Mr. Enrico Billi:

Thank you very much for your appreciated interest to the work we made. I think that in this phase of your education it is better if you follow the suggestions of your trusted Teacher. This is, for you, time to learn, not to invent, and our field is a field of inventions, so I think, sincerely, that it is premature for you to enter our field. For now you get education, the maximum level of education you can, then you will decide what to do. Prof. Giovanni Bonsignori is an outstanding teacher, you can trust him. Nevertheless, Focardi and me, we are grateful to you for the attention you gave to our work. A very, very hard work. About the 1 MW generator: yes, I am in the USA , I am the chief scientist of Leonardo Corporation and we are building a 1 MW generator, which has been commissioned to us from a Major Customer. The first modules are already working and I assume before the end of the year we'll have completed the job.

Conclusion: follow your Professor and put in your education all your best efforts, for now.

Again, thank you and "in bocca al lupo"!

Warmest Regards,
Andrea Rossi

□ Andrea Rossi
[May 16th, 2010 at 7:11 AM](#)

Dear Dr Enrico Billi,
Thank you again for your extremely appreciated attention. Yes, I would like to receive that publication, I didn't know of it and I am very curious to study it. Besides, the Journal Of Nuclear Physics will be happy to publish your papers regarding nuclear physics at large. Your peer-reviewer will be Sergio Focardi. Let's stay in touch: I have to learn from you.
Warmest Regards,
Andrea Rossi

□ Andrea Rossi
[May 18th, 2010 at 7:09 AM](#)

Dear Dr Enrico Billi: The article of the Japanese and Chinese Scientists you sent us has been peer reviewed and we think is very interesting. His publication will be anticipated to the 3rd of June.
I also want to inform all the readers of the Journal Of Nuclear Physics that the article of Cristos Stremmenos has been translated in English and you will find the complete translation just before the text in Italian of the Stremmenos work on our Journal within this week.
We are working for you... and glad to do it.
Warm regards,
Andrea Rossi

□ Andrea Rossi
[March 13th, 2011 at 4:04 PM](#)

Prof. Christos Stremmenos is a nuclear Physic from the University of Bologna and also worked with the University of Athens. He is a hero of the war against the Greek Colonels, a figure very close to the figure of our former President Sandro Pertini. He has been Ambassador of Greece in Rome for 7 years and is one of

the most intellectually honest persons I ever met.
Andrea Rossi

"Nuclear Signatures To Be Expected From Rossi Energy Amplifier" Thread
<http://www.journal-of-nuclear-physics.com/?p=211>

□ [Board of Advisers](#)
[May 6th, 2010 at 10:07 PM](#)

This insight of Dr Dufour is very interesting. It merits a deep analysis. We never found emission of neutrons outside the reactor, with exception of once, when the reactor has gone out of control because we tried to raise further the pressure. In that case we got a strong emission of neutrons, detected from the bubble neutron revelators. But in average exercise we never had an emission of neutrons. Our shield is made by 20 mm of boron, 20 mm of lead. The water flow has not shielding power towards the neutrons. We detected emission of gamma rays, which have been thermalized in the water flow, and we have strong evidence of matter -antimatter reaction (positrons + electrons), with production of energy through the annichilation, but we didn't get neutrons. We think it is because we don't reach the energy level necessary to the neutrons to get out of the nucleus. Nevertheless the analysis of Dr Dufour is extremely interesting and we want to go through it.
Andrea Rossi

□ Andrea Rossi
[May 25th, 2010 at 6:42 PM](#)

Dear Dr Billi:
We never found gamma rays out of the reactor, being thermalized.
Nevertheless, what you are doing is intersting. Be careful manipulating Ni powders (are very dangerous) and Hydrogen, for obvious reasons.
Warmest regards,
Andrea Rossi

□ Andrea Rossi
[June 2nd, 2010 at 7:46 PM](#)

The new article of Jacques Dufour, now under peer-reviewing, is a breakthrough. It will be published on the 1st of July and I really suggest a deep study on it. There is to learn, I promise. I am sure the reviewing will pose no problems; if so, I will fight to publish it.
Andrea Rossi

Andrea Rossi
[June 3rd, 2010 at 8:23 AM](#)

The Enrico Bill's presentation of an important article of chinese and japanese scientists will be online by the end of next week: we are late 7 days for the check of the formulas.

Sorry for the late
Andrea Rossi

Andrea Rossi
[June 7th, 2010 at 5:06 PM](#)

WARNING: WE CONTINUE TO RECEIVE ANONIMOUS COMMENTS. THIS PRACTICE, INDIPENDENTLY FROM THE NATURE OF THE COMMENT, IS DEEMED UNACCEPTABLE FROM THE JOURNAL OF NUCLEAR PHYSICS. THEREFORE, FROM NOW ON, ALL THE COMMENTS WHICH WILL NOT BE SIGNED CORRECTLY AND COHERENTLY WITH THE SOURCE OF THE EMAIL WILL BE PUT IN THE TRASH.

Andrea Rossi
[July 3rd, 2010 at 12:02 PM](#)

Very Good, My Friend: you are confirming the usefullness of the patent . I thank you and soon we will have to meet. People able to replicate my work has the skills necessary to work together with my company. Yes, there are very big risks if you have found the right additives, and as I always said these kind of experiments must be done only by professionals, due to the toxicity of Ni

powders and to the explosivity of H₂, also independently from the nuclear reactions.

When you will have detailed results, please send them to the Journal . I will be glad to discuss them and also we will work together, I hope.

About your second article, the reviewer has promised to give me it back by August, and also already said it is very important. It will be published, I hope, in August and anyway before the 7th of September. Then we will leave it in first position for at least one month. I deem it extremely important.

Again, thank you.

Warmest regards,

Andrea

"Is The Rossi Energy Amplifier The First Pico-Chemical Reactor?" Thread

<http://www.journal-of-nuclear-physics.com/?p=275>

□ Andrea Rossi

[July 23rd, 2010 at 12:04 PM](#)

Everytime Dufour writes I learn something.

Thanks,

Andrea

□ Andrea Rossi

[October 6th, 2010 at 8:45 AM](#)

We want to suggest to our public the new book:

“ENERGIA NUCLEARE: UNA SCELTA ETICA INDIFFERIBILE- MA LE SCORIE RADIOATTIVE?”

The Author is Prof. Franco Casali, teacher of Neutrons' Physics at the University of Bologna.

It is a very interesting book, rich of adjoined data regarding the production of energy by means of nuclear reactors, written in easy and delighting language, readable also from not experts, but nevertheless with a high professional profile.

The publisher is CLUEB,

<http://www.clueb.com>

The price is 14 euros, the royalty of the Author will be entirely given to buy a solar panels powered pump to draw water for an African village.

Journal Of Nuclear Physics

□ Andrea Rossi
[October 6th, 2010 at 8:45 AM](#)

We want to suggest to our public the new book:

“ENERGIA NUCLEARE: UNA SCELTA ETICA INDIFFERIBILE- MA LE SCORIE RADIOATTIVE?”

The Author is Prof. Franco Casali, teacher of Neutrons' Physics at the University of Bologna.

It is a very interesting book, rich of adjoined data regarding the production of energy by means of nuclear reactors, written in easy and delighting language, readable also from not experts, but nevertheless with a high professional profile.

The publisher is CLUEB,

<http://www.clueb.com>

The price is 14 euros, the royalty of the Author will be entirely given to buy a solar panels powered pump to draw water for an African village.

Journal Of Nuclear Physics

□ Ralph
[February 11th, 2011 at 10:58 AM](#)

Congratulations Mr. Rossi

For the benefit of the world I hope that this approach will work out. I feel I must warn you that you are up against not only the Physics community and a fixed mindset but you are also up against the Energy industry both companies and countries. The energy industry is extremely powerful and stands to lose Trillions of dollars if you succeed. Given the war for oil that we have seen it is quite apparent that human lives are something that they do not consider having any value. Therefore I strongly recommend that you implement some sort of failsafe mechanism so that in the event of a planned accident or planned suicide the information about your device is given to the world. WIKILEAKS and multiple other methods of dispersing this information should be considered and please hold very confidential any information of those information outlets. I know this sounds paranoid but given the powers threatened in the world I think that it is prudent.

□ Andrea Rossi
[February 11th, 2011 at 1:38 PM](#)

Dear Mr Ralph:
Thank you for your comment. I have taken my precautions, because they are necessary.
There is people who know everything and that will go on, just in case.
Warm Regards,
Andrea Rossi

"Hydrogen/Nickel Cold Fusion Probable Mechanism" Thread
<http://www.journal-of-nuclear-physics.com/?p=338&cpage=1#comments>

□ Andrea Rossi
[January 22nd, 2011 at 3:42 AM](#)

Dear Dr Fine:
Yes, I confirm that Monday Jan 24 the Bologna University Report will be published on the Journal Of Nuclear Physics. I repeat that everybody will be allowed to use it in every kind of publication, online, paper, written, spoken, without need of any permission. It will be not put on it the copyright.
Warm Regards,
A.R.

□ Andrea Rossi
[March 19th, 2011 at 3:47 AM](#)

Dear Mr Gillis:
As a matter of fact we never found radioactive waste left after the operation, when we take out the used powders. This is due to the fact that the isotopes which are turned into copper are the 62 and 64 Ni. In thousands of tests we never found radioactive residuals. We take off the powders the day after the turn off.
Good question.
Warm Regards,
A.R.

□ Andrea Rossi
[March 25th, 2011 at 3:19 AM](#)

Dear Prof. Kowalski:

After the experience we made at the beginning, we worked on the powders, so that only Ni 62 and 64 react. As a matter of fact, after a couple of hours we do not find radioactivity inside the powders; of course, for safety reasons, in the manuals we demand that the powders are discharged the day after, but during our experiments that's what we found. Nevertheless, Ni + p is not the sole source of energy, in this you are perfectly right. When we will present our 1MW plant in October we will also disclose the theory that at this point we have understood.

Warm regards,
A.R.

□ Andrea Rossi
[March 25th, 2011 at 5:27 PM](#)

Dear Prof. Gillis HRG,

I think that it is caused from the major number of nuclear links on the surface of the 62 and 64 isotopes, which also could be the reason why 63 and 65 Cu isotopes are stable.

Warm Regards,
A.R.

□ HRG
[April 4th, 2011 at 9:06 PM](#)

Dr. Rossi:

Based on my limited understanding of Widom-Larsen theory (as described in US Pat. No. 7893414) it appears to me that the long term success of your endeavor may depend on the ability to reproducibly make nano-scale metal particulates (ie. nano-nickel powders). In that regard; consider, if you have not done so already, the "Rieke metals". These are NOT to be confused with Raney nickel. Rieke metals are metal particulates made by chemical reduction of metal compounds. The resulting metal particles may be of nanometer size or lower. There is a

company called RIEKE METALS INC. that may be useful. It is my understanding they make and use Rieke metals as intermediates for production of organo-metallic compounds. They might be able to produce custom "Rieke nickel" particulate for you. Otherwise; procedures for making these finely reduced metal particulates are known in the chemical literature.

Andrea Rossi
[April 4th, 2011 at 9:25 PM](#)

Dear "HRG":

My process has nothing to do with Widom Larsen Theory. Nothing at all, as you will see when we will publish our theory together with the presentation of our 1 MW plant in Greece in October.

Warm Regards,
A.R.

[Jed Rothwell](#)
[April 11th, 2011 at 8:44 PM](#)

Mattias Carlsson asked "Did you enrich for heavier nickel isotopes to make the nickel fuel?" and you replied:

"Yes, we do."

Elsewhere you said that processing the Ni adds only about 10% to the cost. Yet monoisotopic elements are very expensive. To enrich the sample even 1% would make it cost far more than normal Ni.

How do you explain this? Perhaps there is some confusion.

(Incidentally, Piantelli says in his patent that his Ni is enriched. See patent WO 2010/058288)

Andrea Rossi

[April 11th, 2011 at 10:01 PM](#)

Dear Jed Rothwell:

I am not going to give more information about this issue. Just can say we have invented a process of ours to enrich Ni without relevant costs. To elaborate Ni powders along classic processes is the invention of the hot water. It is as invent and patent the sputtering in 2010...

Warm regards,
A.R.

□ [Andrea Rossi](#)

[April 16th, 2011 at 6:48 PM](#)

Dear Mr Brad Dondale:

In November we will start to duffuse our E-Cats.

That will be the right moment to contact me, when we will unleash the commercial attack.

Warm Regards,
A.R.

□ [Carlo Ombello](#)

[May 5th, 2011 at 7:00 AM](#)

Dear Rossi,

you will progressively be exposed to the media, with more and more attention devoted to your past, whether you like it or not. Do not offer yourself to easy criticism, do answer question by question! If you just say "I don't want to talk about it anymore", you will just make them happy to torture you even more. I think you have the truth on your side (trial acquittals, your success in the US, etc.), use it with short, sharp answers to the ones who want to "mud you up". Be smart with the media, start thinking about using an expert team to help you fight back on false accusations. The best defense is a good offense.

We all are with you.

All the best
Ing. Carlo Ombello

□ Andrea Rossi
[May 11th, 2011 at 12:14 PM](#)

Dear Mr Pietro Cambi:
Your proposal is difficult to combine with the necessity of industrial intellectual property due to the investors.
Warm regards,
A.R.

"January 15th Focardi And Rossi Press Conference" Thread
<http://www.journal-of-nuclear-physics.com/?p=360>

Today, Saturday January 15th, at 10:00 AM Sergio Focardi and Andrea Rossi will be on-line for the press conference with Journal's readers.

The press conference will start at 10 a.m. Italian Time.
To put questions, you will have to send your inquiry as a comment of this post, you will receive the answer in real time online.

Warm Regards,

The Board Of Advisers of the Journal Of Nuclear Physics

January 12th, 2011 | Category: [Publication](#)
