



Stephen Hupp, Editor
Stuart Vyse, Interim Editor
Julia Lavarney, Managing Editor

Sept. 15, 2023

To the Editors,

I appreciate the response to my letter from Ian Bryce, both published in the July/August issue of *Skeptical Inquirer*, in which Bryce acknowledges an error in his earlier report. As Bryce has confirmed, in 2011, *New Energy Times* investigated and reported a likely trick used by Andrea Rossi to make a false power claim.

Bryce, however, has introduced another error. He stated "Krivit's stream/water theory was only one hypothesis, buried among dozens in his 200-page report."

Bryce is mistaken again. Our [Report #3](#) includes analyses from 12 experts, including those from NASA. Our single hypothesis is the unvaporized hidden water sent through the black hose into a plumbing drain. Rossi had claimed that all the water was fully vaporized into steam. Most of the 37 appendices that make up the bulk of our 200-page report discuss specific technical aspects of Rossi's claim, including steam exit velocity, thermal dispersion of the outlet hose, fundamentals concepts of steam flow, analysis of the input water pump, the alleged steam quality declaration, the alleged steam quality measuring device, and an analysis from NASA.

The video I filmed of Rossi and his device tells almost the whole story. Regardless, our comprehensive array of detailed, coherent analyses shows that there was no evidence whatsoever of output power, via water vaporized into steam, at the level of 3,000 Watts from Rossi's device. In fact, our analyses show that the power output, via the tiny amount of steam produced, was consistent with the 770 Watt power input.

Bryce's thesis, however, is predicated on his assertion that Rossi's device produced a 3,000 Watt output. He repeated his assertion in his recent letter: "The excess power usually matching the capacity of the earth wire used—3,000 watts."

It is antithetical to the mission of *Skeptical Inquirer* — to promote scientific inquiry, critical investigation, and use of reason — that your magazine has republished this unscientific and unreasonable assertion from Bryce that Rossi's device produced an output of 3,000 Watts.

Thank you,

Steven B. Krivit