

ICCF-15 Conference 2009

Concentration Polarization in hydrogen permeation through self-supported Pd-based membranes

<u>Giuseppe BARBIERI</u>, Alessio CARAVELLA¹ and Enrico DRIOLI^{1,2}

¹National Research Council - Institute on Membrane Technology, ITM-CNR, c/o The University of Calabria, Via P. Bucci - Cubo 17C, 87036 Rende (CS), Italy.

²The University of Calabria - Dept. of Chemical and Materials Engineering, Via P. Bucci - Cubo 44A, 87036 Rende (CS), Italy.



Presentation Topics

- □ Motivation of the analysis
- **C** Elementary step-based permeation model
 - Description and details
 - ✓ Results and comments
- Concentration polarization analysis
 - ✓ Definition of the concentration polarization coefficient CPC
 - ✓ Results and comments
- Overall conclusions



Motivation of the analysis

The Pd-based membranes present an infinite selectivity towards hydrogen with respect to all the other chemical species. Hence, their integration in production and purification process could lead up to significant advantages with respect to traditional equipments.

Many empirical models have been already developed in literature to interpret and investigate the Pd-based membrane behaviour.

-

However, the possibility to use massively these membranes is related to a deep knowledge of their behaviour in different conditions.

Nevertheless, systematic approaches to model the complex transport and kinetic phenomena regarding these membranes, evaluating also the concentration polarization influence, are still missing or inadequate.

Description of the permeation model



ICCF – 15th International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science, October 5-9, 2009, Rome, Italy



Mathematical details of the model



 $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{F}}\Big|_{\mathrm{j}}$ = Partial pressure of the jth species – Feed side

- Surface degree covered by atomic H, mol_H mol_{Pd}⁻¹
- ξ = Atomic H concentration inside the Pd-based lattice

Each step is modelled by its own equations, which provide the value of the overall permeating flux as well as the H₂ profile through the membrane



Mathematical details of the model

Permeation Step	Flux Equation				
Adsorption	$J_{\rm H_2}^{\rm Ads} = \frac{S_0 F(\theta_{\rm F})}{\sqrt{2\pi \mathrm{M}_{\rm H_2} RT}} \mathrm{P_F}\big _{\rm H_2} - N_S^2 k_{Des}^0 \exp\!\left(-\frac{2E_{Des}}{RT}\right) \theta_{\rm F} G(\theta_{\rm F})$				
Desorption	$J_{\rm H_2}^{\rm Des} = N_S^2 k_{Des}^0 \exp\left(-\frac{2E_{Des}}{RT}\right) \theta_{\rm P} G(\theta_{\rm P}) - \frac{S_0 F(\theta_{\rm P})}{\sqrt{2\pi \mathrm{M}_{\rm H_2} RT}} \mathrm{P_P}\big _{\rm H_2}$				
Diffusion	$J_{\rm H_2}^{Diff} = \frac{N_b}{2\delta^{Mem}} D_{\rm H}^0 \exp\left(-\frac{E_{Diff}}{RT}\right) \left[\left(\xi_{\rm F} - \xi_{\rm P}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{b}{T}\right) \left(\xi_{\rm F}^2 - \xi_{\rm P}^2\right) \right]$				
Surface-to-Bulk	$J_{\rm H_2}^{SB} = \frac{N_S \lambda_{j0}}{6} \left[(1 - \xi_{\rm F}) \frac{T^{0.25}}{c_1} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{SB}}{RT}\right) \sqrt{\frac{G(\theta_{\rm F})\theta_{\rm F}}{F(\theta_{\rm F})}} - \xi_{\rm F} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{BS}}{RT}\right) \right]$				
Bulk-to-Surface	$J_{\rm H_2}^{BS} = \frac{N_S \lambda_{j0}}{6} \left[\xi_{\rm P} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{BS}}{RT}\right) - (1 - \xi_{\rm P}) \frac{T^{0.25}}{c_1} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{SB}}{RT}\right) \sqrt{\frac{G(\theta_{\rm P})\theta_{\rm P}}{F(\theta_{\rm P})}} \right]$				
Mass transfer in film on Feed and Permeate side (Multicomponent film theory)	$\vec{N} = \frac{1}{RT} \{ Kc \} \cdot \{ Z \} \cdot \left(\vec{P}^{Bulk} - \vec{P}^{Surface} \right) + \frac{N_{Total}}{P_{Total}} \vec{P}^{Surface}$				
Mass transfer in each layer of the porous support (Dusty Gas Model)	$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{j}N_{i} - x_{i}N_{j}}{C_{Total} D_{ij,effective}^{MaxwellStefan}} + \frac{N_{i}}{C_{Total} D_{i,effective}^{Knudsen}} = -\nabla x_{i} - \frac{x_{i}\overline{V_{i}}}{RT}\nabla P - x_{i} \frac{B_{0}}{\eta D_{i,effective}^{Knudsen}}\nabla P$				

Model validation with data from literature



found for two supported membranes in several conditions.

[1] Dittmeyer et al., 2001. J. Mol. Cat. A: Chem., 173: 135-184. [2] Liang and Hughes, 2005. Chem. Eng. J., 112: 81-86.



Operating conditions

Side -			Revnolds number.					
	H ₂	CH ₄	CO ₂	H ₂ O	со	N ₂	Total	
Retentate	600	75	50	50	25	75	875	1700 - 800* 4000 - 2500**
Permeate	100		-	80	-	20	200	1800 - 900* 3000 - 4200**
			Те	emperatur	es = [300 -	600]ºC		

Multicomponent mixtures have been considered in both retentate and permeate side to reproduce a more real situation of separation process.

Transmembrane profiles – Laminar flow



H₂ partial pressure profiles as functions of the operating conditions.





respectively, are expressed in form of equivalent H₂ partial pressures.





In laminar conditions, the influence of the external mass transfer can be relevant.





In turbulent conditions, only four steps influences the overall permeation process.

Flux vs. T – Laminar and Turbulent flow



The overall flux can be seen as the results of a complex combination of all the limiting fluxes related to the elementary steps considered.

Depending on the operating conditions, the flux tends to follow the behaviour of the most influencing step.



Evaluation of the Concentration Polarization



The quantities π^{Mem} and DF^{Bulk} are directly evaluable from pure H₂ test and from the external operating conditions, respectively. H₂ Flux(Elementary Steps) = $\pi^{Bulk} DF^{Bulk} = (1 - CPC) \pi^{Membrane} DF^{Bulk}$







[3] Peters T.A. et al., 2008. J. Mem. Sci., 316: 119-127.

Polarization analysis - Operating conditions

Cide			Molar fr	action, -	T. (.)	Description of the second second		
Siae	H ₂	CH ₄	CO ₂	H ₂ O	O ₂	N ₂	- Total pressure, kPa	rieynolas number, -
Mixture	{0 1 }	{0.2 0} for each species					{100 1000 }	2100 - 8000
Pure H ₂	1	Absent				{100 800}	Not influent	
Temperatures = [300 - 500]ºC								



Flux and permeance vs. x_{H_2}

 $P^{Mixture} = 1000 \text{ kPa}, P^{Pure} = 200 \text{ kPa}, T = 500 \text{ }$ °C, Re @ 5200



ICCF – 15th International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science, October 5-9, 2009, Rome, Italy



Polarization maps – **CPC vs.** x_{H_2}





Polarization maps – CPC vs. x_{H_2}



The influence of the concentration polarization significantly decreases as high membrane thicknesses are considered, because the diffusion in the Pd-based bulk progressively tends to become the only rate-determining step.



Overall Conclusions

□ A new model for H₂ permeation through supported Pd-based membranes was developed, accounting for several elementary steps.

- ✓ The model predictions were compared with some experimental data, showing a good agreement with them.
- ✓ The rate determining steps were identified as functions of temperature, membrane thickness and fluid-dynamic conditions.
- The overall permeating flux has been evaluated as a function of the limiting fluxes of all the elementary steps considered.

□ A systematic analysis was provided for the concentration polarization in self-supported Pd-based membranes by modifying the original Sieverts' law.

- ✓ The effect of the concentration polarization has been evaluated by means of an appropriately defined Concentration Polarization Coefficient CPC.
- ✓ CPC has been calculated as a function of several conditions (temperature, membrane thickness, feed and permeate pressure, and Reynolds' number) in order to better predict the hydrogen flux.



ICCF-15 Conference 2009

Thanks for Your Kind Attention

