

From: Rusi Taleyarkhan <rusitaleyarkhan@msn.com>
To: <stevek
Subject: Response to Questions toKrivit (rpt->skrivit;7.27.08)
Date: Sun, 27 Jul 2008 15:44:45 -0700

Steve:

Per our conversation of a few minutes ago (I also forward John's thoughts on your earlier email of today; John is on vacation so I'd like to leave him alone as much as possible from having to respond to you).

- 1) See page 4 of Email exchange between Purdue and Holly Adams of ONR. That page confirms Purdue's contention that the 2006 C-22 Inq.C had already looked at the issues of Butt as co-author and the complaints of some re: independence of Xu's work and that these questions were found to have no misconduct. H.Adams has never got back to Purdue contesting this. This is v.important since magically, these same allegations have been added.
- 2) List of 34 Allegations received in 2007 for the Second C-22/ONR Inq.C -- these are listed in Appendix B and these are the ones which Purdue's investigation was legally entitled to be passing judgment on. You can now look at pages 7,15,23,24,25,27,28 of the 4.18.08 Inv.C Report to ONR where 12 of the original 34 allegations of App.B are cited. Nowhere in the list of 34 allegations of Appendix B does one find the 2 new allegations cited in the 4.18.08 Inv.C Report (which calls the new ones as A.2 and B.2). It is ironic that the ones charged with deciding on fabrication themselves fabricated and falsified!!!
- 3) 9.13.07 Letter to Purdue from Holly Adams (IG of ONR) agreeing to the Conclusions of the C-22/ONR mandated Aug.27.07 report to ONR, and ONR's approval to finish the job for the remaining 12 allegations.
- 4) The Nov.2007 Charter to the 2008 C-22 Inv.C (what we'll call the 3rd formal C-22/ONR Cmte.). See the first paragraph itself where the Provost tells the Cmte. members that their charge was to pass judgment on misconduct for the allegations being forwarded to them in the Aug.27.07 report.
- 5) Purdue's 7.18.08 Press Release (premature by any standard meant to intimidate and bias public opinion before Appeals phase is over); the letter from H.Adams (ONR IG) along with the 4.18.08 Inv.C Report links are present therein for you to access per your request.

The evidence pieces above should provide the bases for the supporting evidence you were looking for.

Call/write if you want further info.

Rusi