

Message

Page 1 of 2

**Morlan, Joyce J.***DRAFT**Purdue Press Release  
in Response to Cong Miller Release*

**From:** Jischke, Martin C.  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 28, 2007 11:33 AM  
**To:** 'Kealey, William P.'; Rutledge, Charles O.; Mason, Sally K.; Jamieson, Leah H.  
**Subject:** RE: Draft Purdue press release in response to Miller press release

Bill, this looks good to me. My only suggestion is to change the wording in the third paragraph to "...allegations and has begun a review of them." We are I believe beyond organizing a review and are now conducting the review. Assuming this statement or something close to it is released, we will need to quickly find the outside scientists. Chip, I assume you will lead that effort. Martin

**From:** Kealey, William P. [mailto:WPK@stuartlaw.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 28, 2007 8:51 AM  
**To:** Rutledge, Charles O.; Jischke, Martin C.; Mason, Sally K.; Jamieson, Leah H.  
**Subject:** Draft Purdue press release in response to Miller press release

Below is a draft statement per our meeting yesterday. I am available through the weekend by email and at 497-1512 (home) or 426-2826 (cell) to discuss same.

**Statement:**

Purdue shares Congressman Miller's goals. Purdue expects its scientists to hold themselves to the highest standards of integrity in their research.

Purdue has given Congressman Miller and his staff full access to Purdue's sonofusion review.

Shortly after Purdue's February 2007 press release on that subject, Purdue received additional sonofusion allegations and <sup>has</sup> begun organizing a review of them.

President Jischke and Congressman Miller have discussed how Purdue should go forward and have together identified steps that will take the next stage of Purdue's review process to another level. At Congressman Miller's suggestion, Purdue will add one or more outside scientists to the panel of top Purdue scientists who have agreed to serve as reviewers during the next stage.

Purdue has also recently sent open-ended requests to many potential witnesses, asking them to make a full and complete written disclosure of *any* research misconduct witnessed by them in sonofusion research at Purdue. Purdue makes an open call for witnesses to submit their witness knowledge and evidence in writing to President Jischke, regardless whether they have received a direct invitation from Purdue to do so.

The Purdue administration cannot answer the ultimate question whether sonofusion works. Only honestly conducted and reported laboratory research and debate can answer that question. From the outset, Purdue has affirmed its strong commitment to do its part to support the integrity of the sonofusion debate, by addressing any alleged breakdown of integrity in sonofusion research at Purdue.

- 1739

4/30/2007

Message

Page 2 of 2

President Jischke has also given Congressman Miller an update on Purdue's ongoing leadership initiatives for oversight of research on a laboratory-by-laboratory basis. In addition, earlier this year Purdue commenced a major revision of its policy on research integrity. That revision will incorporate the latest guidelines from the federal Office of Research Integrity and will improve procedures for addressing research misconduct allegations.

Add links to:

Mason/Rutledge letter to editor of Nature

~~Mason/Rutledge letter to editor of New York Times~~ *Not published*

Mason letter to Purdue faculty

---

**William P. Kealey**

Stuart & Branigin LLP

Phone: (765) 423-1561

Facsimile: (765) 742-8175

wpk@stuartlaw.com

**Lafayette Office**

300 Main Street, Suite 800

P.O. Box 1010

Lafayette, IN 47902-1010

This message is a confidential legal communication to the named recipient(s). If you receive this message in error or are not the named recipient(s), please notify the sender and delete this email. Thank you.

1740

4/30/2007