Feb. 15, 2007, San Francisco:
- Krivit meets Garwin at the American Association for the Advancement of Science meeting.
- Krivit asks if Garwin if he has seen the Widom-Larsen paper.
- Garwin confirms.
- Krivit asks Garwin for comment.
- Garwin makes comment about the gamma shielding portion of the theory.

Feb. 15, 2007:
- Krivit tells Larsen that Garwin says there is a problem with the gamma shielding portion of the theory.
- Krivit asks Larsen for comment.
- Larsen unclear about Garwin's comment as conveyed by Krivit.

Date: Sun, 18 Feb 2007 16:32:30 -0800
To: "RLG2-us.ibm.com" <RLG2@us.ibm.com>
From: Steve Krivit
Subject: Widom-Larsen theory

Dr. Garwin,

When I spoke with you the other night I was not clear about your comment. Were you referring to an error in their theory or something that was missing from it?

Thanks,

Steve Krivit

Subj: A question for you re the Widom-Larsen theory of LENRs
Date: 2/19/2007 3:23:26 PM Central Standard Time
From: LEWISGLARSEN
To: rlg2@us.ibm.com
CC: allan.widom

Dear Richard:

We have heard through the grapevine that you think that the Widom-Larsen
theory of LENRs is "wrong."

Could you please elaborate in writing on the specifics as to exactly where you think that we are in error? If the above attribution is accurate, we are very curious about how you came to such a conclusion.

By the way, have you read all four of our papers?

We look forward to hearing from you further.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lew and Allan

Lewis Larsen
President and CEO
Lattice Energy LLC
Chicago, IL
(312) 861 - 0115

Prof. Allan Widom
Northeastern University, Dept. of Physics
Boston, MA

************
To: LEWISGLARSEN@cs.com, allan.widom
Cc: Steve Krivit
Subject: Fw: FYI - Two preprints on the Widom-Larsen theory of LENRs are attached
From: Richard L Garwin <rlg2@us.ibm.com>
Date: Mon, 19 Feb 2007 18:40:27 -0500

I didn't say it was wrong. I said that I had not received a reply to my question/suggestion about using such a material as a shield against high-energy gamma or x-rays.

Here is what I received from Krivit, in response to a 10-second reply to his question as I was walking from the Hilton in San Francisco:

***************

Dr. Garwin,
When I spoke with you the other night I was not clear about your comment.

Were you referring to an error in their theory or something that was missing from it?

Thanks,

Steve Krivit
***************

I had told him that I had written you and not received a reply, and that if the theory were correct, there should be this shielding capability. I told him also that Fermi said that it was as hard to read a paper as to write one and that I had not read your paper(s) thoroughly.

Did you ever reply to my letter of 10/10/2005?

Thank you.

R.L. Garwin

***************
Richard L Garwin/Watson/Contr/IBM
10/10/2005 10:24 AM

To LEWISGLARSEN@cs.com

Subject Re: FYI - Two preprints on the Widom-Larsen theory of LENRs are attached

Dear Dr. Larsen,

I suppose you have calculated the effectiveness of these materials in shielding against external x-rays and gamma rays.

Could you send me those calculations and the results of any experimental measurements?

Thank you.

Richard L. Garwin
***************
Dear Steve Krivit,

Now you have a story.

R.L. Garwin

************
LEWISGLARSEN@c
02/19/2007 07:39 PM

To
Richard L Garwin/Watson/Contr/IBM@IBMUS
cc
allan.widom@gmail.com
Subject: You are correct - we did not respond to your questions about the gamma shield

Dear Richard:

You are correct - we have not responded to your prior questions about the LENR gamma shielding application.

We did not answer those particular questions because of underlying intellectual property issues.
Nonetheless, we thank you again for your interest in our work.

Best regards,

Lew and Allan