

Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 22:07:49 -0500
From: Rusi <Rusi@ecn.purdue.edu>
To: jnorberg@purdue.edu
Subject: Fwd: Message forwarded

Jeanne:

...the fact that Jevremovic is now stating per your email to me that she was misrepresented is not comforting since the quotes mentioned are her style of talking and she may have been recorded for all I know. Quite distressing indeed. In any case, I am placing faith at present in the integrity of Peter Dunn and Sally Mason. For the record I did reply to the NYTimes reporter Ken C., stated my support for the data we have had published in the literature, but requested that (per today's agreement with Sally) NYTimes call you for internal Purdue details.

Rusi

Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 20:01:05 -0500
From: Rusi Taleyarkhan <rusi@ecn.purdue.edu>
To: Steve Krivit
Subject: Re: is there anyone (rpt->s.krivit;3/8/06)

Steve:

I'm asking several to contact Ken although today I was advised to have Purdue's POC (press person Jeanne Norberg) talk to the press reporters until this internal review is completed. I've spoken with Ken [Chang] today and let him know of the current situation at Purdue but assured him we stand by our published results and that, the Putterman computer model simulation is not something that holds up. It is wild that such barbs are given so much credence without any peer review.

You are right about this being America but I'm a new immigrant and not quite used to involving lawyers nor even knowing what steps to take. We have nothing to hide; the data came out the way they did and were presented in raw form and I'm the first to admit mistakes and write to the editor -as I had to do for minor errata that PRE published in 2004.

However, it is fast becoming clear of motives other than just science-related and it is so draining emotionally. At present I tend to have faith in our Provost and assurances I've received.

Thx for your initiative. You offer the community a very valuable service.

Rusi