

Delivery-date: Wed, 06 Sep 2006 10:36:31 -0700
From: Physical Review Letters <prl@ridge.aps.org>
To: naranjo@physics.ucla.edu
Subject: Acceptance LQK1005 Naranjo

Re: LQK1005 Comment on ``Nuclear emissions during self-nucleated acoustic cavitation'' By: B. Naranjo

Dear Dr. Naranjo:

This manuscript has been accepted for publication in Physical Review Letters. The paper is now being prepared for publication. A length count estimate will be made for the final version. If within the appropriate limit, the paper will be sent to production. If not, you will be asked to shorten the manuscript. If any questions arise in the production process we will attempt to contact you.

PAGE PROOFS: Page proofs are transmitted via the PRL production web site. When proofs are ready, an email will be sent to the corresponding author indicating that proofs are available online along with the web site address and a unique password for access.

COMMUNICATION: To assure rapid transmission of page proofs and other communications, it is essential that we have on record accurate up-to-date email, fax, and postal addresses. Please check the information currently in our records:

Dr. B. Naranjo
MC154705
Dept. of Physics + Astron.
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1547
Email: naranjo@physics.ucla.edu
Phone: 1+310-825-1842

Any corrections should be emailed or faxed as soon as possible. Our automatic status server at <http://authors.aps.org/STATUS/> is available for rechecking this information.

PUBLICITY AND OUTREACH:

COVERAGE BY YOUR NEWS OFFICE: *** Please inform your institution's public information or news office as soon as possible about this acceptance. ***
The writers at your institution may want to publicize your work in their own publications or with the local media, and they need as much lead time as possible. To find the appropriate office, try searching for your institution's name at <http://sciencesources.eurekalert.org> or <http://www.newswise.com/resources/ncd/>.

COVERAGE BY APS AND AIP: Your research will be considered for Physics Today, Phys. Rev. Focus, and other APS and AIP publications--and could possibly be covered by newspapers and magazines worldwide--if you submit a summary following the instructions at the web address below. Submit your summary as soon as possible to allow time to consider and if appropriate produce the story before your paper is published.

Summary submission instructions: <http://authors.aps.org/publicity.html>

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Editors
Physical Review Letters
Email: prl@ridge.aps.org
Fax: 631-591-4141
<http://prl.aps.org/>

Date: 7 September 2006 16:02:58 -0700
From: Brian Naranjo <naranjo@physics.ucla.edu>
To: bdj10@cam.ac.uk
Subject: [prl@ridge.aps.org: Acceptance LQK1005 Naranjo]

Dear Professor Josephson,

I had told you that I would let you know when PRL made a decision whether to publish my Comment on Taleyarkhan's proton recoil spectrum. They did decide to publish it, but it could still be months before it appears in print.

Sincerely,
Brian Naranjo

Brian Josephson wrote:

Dear Rusi,

It seems Naranjo's paper has been accepted. What is the situation re yours? Is that being published alongside it?

Brian

Date: 9 September 2006 14:13:51 -0400
From: Rusi Taleyarkhan <rusi@ecn.purdue.edu>
To: Brian Josephson <bdj10@cam.ac.uk>
Cc: rusi@purdue.edu, nigmar@gmail.com, laheyr@rpi.edu, blockr@rpi.edu
Subject: [prl@ridge.aps.org: Acceptance LQK1005 Naranjo] - rpt->bj(9.9.06)

Dear Brian:

I've been out on travel recently but did get acknowledgment from PRL that my group's paper was peer reviewed and accepted for publication (as requested by our group to the editors with a specific request to also publish the submission by Naranjo - so that readers can compare both together). We thought this to be the more professional and ethical route and constitutes a highly positive development in this entire saga (unlike the shoot-from-the-hip approach that Nature has taken). The PRL editor got back to me separately, stating their board has accepted to also publish Naranjo's submission (hopefully, side-by-side per our request). The note from Naranjo bears this out. FYI, I append the notification from PRL that was received last week. The production department also got with me yesterday and seems like the copy (attached) should be within length limitations.

Regards,

Rusi

(FYI: there was another series of comments from a different scientist, A.Lipson from the Univ.of Illinois; this was submitted with due professionalism per time-honored tradition and in a gentlemanly-fashion which captured several of the questions I've received on our work from worldwide readers of our 1/06 PRL paper. We decided to respond categorically and submitted another paper on that subject which was also peer reviewed and this too has been accepted for publication in PRL.

This is attached in confidence also. Once published, it is my intention to also place both the new PRL articles along with the supplementary materials on the Arvix web-site.)

Re: LVK1019 Taleyarkhan et al. reply By: R.P. Taleyarkhan, R.C. Block, R.T. Lahey Jr., R.I. Nigmatulin, Y. Xu, et al.

Dear Dr. Taleyarkhan:

This manuscript has been accepted for publication in Physical Review Letters. The paper is now being prepared for publication. A length count estimate will be made for the final version. If within the appropriate limit, the paper will be sent to production. If not, you will be asked to shorten the manuscript. If any questions arise in the production process we will attempt to contact you.