

Re: Meeting Revisited

Subject: Re: Meeting Revisited

From: Adam Butt <butt@purdue.edu>

Date: Thu, 23 Feb 2006 15:02:21 -0500

To: Chan Choi <choi@ecn.purdue.edu>, butt@purdue.edu

Prof. Choi,

Attached is the timeline of events from the standpoint of my involvement in the NED and NURETH-11 papers. Take care,

--Adam--

Chan Choi wrote:

Dear Adam,

I sincerely appreciated your participation to the ongoing committee activities. I have requested for follow up answer to Yiban on the issue of actual revisions of the NED and NURETH-11 papers. I have also sent a request for a meeting to Professor Revankar.

As discussed earlier, if and when Professor Revankar agrees to meet with us all, I will inform you hoping to wrap up our duties in good time span.

Thank you very much,

Chan Choi

Statement for Purdue NE.doc

Content-Type: application/msword

Content-Encoding: base64

Statement from Adam Butt
2/23/2006

Timeline of Events

May 2004 - Adam begins working with Professor Taleyarkhan. Only involvement in Pharmacy lab is to move hardware to INOK lab (was not involved in any experiments there).

January 26, 2005 - Professor Taleyarkhan asks Adam to be a co-author on an article for the Nuclear Engineering and Design (NED) journal. Adam is a co-author to Dr. Xu.

August 27, 2005 – Prof. Taleyarkhan asks Adam to present material related to our paper at the NURETH-11 conference in France.

September 28, 2005 – Yiban Xu sends a copy of his draft for the NURETH-11 conference presentation. No draft of the conference paper was ever sent to me before the conference. I was given a printed version to review around this timeframe, but was not at all involved in the review process for this paper. The first time I saw the paper it was in its final form.

Summary,

- All data for the NED publication was recorded before I joined Prof. T's team (pre May 2004).
- My name was added to the publication the day before submitting it to the editor for review (NED paper).
- The NED paper was already written by the time that I saw it (I do not know who wrote it). My input was to grammatically review the paper as well as double check the data being used, which was basically to see if it had been copied accurately from our data acquisition computer to the files used to generate the graphs for the NED paper
- There was a second paper, for the NURETH-11 conference in Avignon, France from 10/2/05 – 10/7/05
- I was asked by Prof. T to go to France give a presentation on our findings
- Up until a week before the conference (9/28/05) I was under the impression that the material being presented would be that contained in the NED paper – I did not know that there was going to be a second separate paper (no one told me, even though I was asked to present).
- A week before the conference I was first given a copy of the NURETH paper by Prof. T, which I was listed as an author (along with Y. Xu and Prof. Revenkar). This time I was not even asked if I wanted to be an author and I had absolutely no input into the paper, nor were asked to review the paper.
- I did not attend the conference